



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

A	APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
	09/773,596		02/02/2001	Kazuo Ogoro	043034/0165	6241
	22428	7590	07/02/2003			
	FOLEY A		DNER	EXAMINER CHANG, KENT WU		
٠,	SUITE 500 3000 K STE	REET NW				
	WASHINGTON, DC 200		20007		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
					2673	
					DATE MAILED: 07/02/2003	8

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		Application No. Applicant(s)									
(J		09/773,596		ogoro, kazuo							
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit							
		Kent Chang		2673							
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply											
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status											
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u> </u>		•							
2a) <u></u> □	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ Th	is action is non-f	inal.								
3)	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.										
Disposition of Claims											
4) 🖂	Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application	۱.									
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.										
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.										
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are rejected.											
7) 🗌	Claim(s) is/are objected to.										
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election require	ement.								
	on Papers	_									
·	9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.										
10)[2]	10) The drawing(s) filed on 8/26/02 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.										
11)[ ] .	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.										
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.											
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.											
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120											
13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).											
a)[	a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:										
	1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been rec	eived.		•						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No										
* 5	<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>										
14) 🗌 A	14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).										
<ul> <li>a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.</li> <li>15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.</li> </ul>											
Attachment(s)											
2) 🔲 Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>3</u>	4)	Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No Patent Application (PT							
J.S. Patent and T	rademark Office										

Art Unit: 2673

## DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Applicant's Admitted Prior Art on pages 1-3 in view of Kawata (US Patent No. 6,076,171).

On pages 1-3, applicant admitted that it is known to turn off the backlighting of a conventional cellular phone having a display section and an input section during data inputting so as to save power. The conventional method is silent in changing the brightness of the display for power saving.

However, Kawata teaches to set the brightness of a display in a level based on the current operation mode of the device so as to reduce power consumption (see column 20 lines 35-63). Therefore, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to reduce the brightness of the display as taught by Kawata in a conventional cellular phone during data inputting so as to reduce power consumption as suggested by kawata.

Consider claims 4 and 7. The conventional cellular phone include a data input

mode, a data display mode, and a communication status display mode.

Consider claim 5. A conventional cellular phone includes a plurality of functions including a voice communication function, a mailing function, a short-messaging function, a phone directory function, a scheduling function, and a game function. Consider claims 11 and 18. It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to include a brightness change mode and a no-change mode so as to provide the user choices based on the user's preference.

Consider claims 14-15. It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use a plurality of resistor and LEDs to control the brightness level so as to simplify the driving circuit and lower the cost of the device. The examiner takes Official Notice that it is well known in the art to use LEDs for backlighting a display device.

#### **Conclusion**

3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Sakaguchi (US Patent No. 6,141,568) teaches a portable telephone having power saving function.

Ando (US Patent No. 6,021,502) teaches to reduce the brightness of a monitor when no input is entered from a keyboard so as to reduce power consumption (see column 1 lines 35-44).

Art Unit: 2673

Pontarelli (US Patent No. 5,696,952) teaches to reduce brightness or turn off a display so as to reduce power consumption (column 1 lines 2-58).

Ge (US Patent No. 6,369,867) teaches using LEDs as a light source for backlighting a display device.

#### **CONTACT INFORMATION**

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kent Chang whose telephone number is 703-305-4824. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Thursday from 9:00 AM to 6:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bipin Shalwala, can be reached at 703-305-4938.

### Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

#### or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314 (for Technology Center 2600 only)

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Application/Control Number: 09/773,596

Art Unit: 2673

Page 5

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is 305-9700.

> Kent Chang Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2673

Kc

6/28/03